

## Climate Change Scrutiny Review

### Review & Petitions, item 6

**Committee:** Review & Petitions

**Date:** 8 February 2006

**Title:** Climate Change Scrutiny Review

**Author:** Tracy Turner, Executive Manager  
(Strategy & Performance), 01799 510402,  
Jake Roos, Energy Efficiency Officer,  
01799 510511

**Agenda Item**

**6**

Item for  
decision

---

### Summary

A scrutiny investigation into Climate Change had commenced under the Community and Housing Scrutiny Committee. The document sets out how the Review & Petitions Committee may take the investigation forward.

### Recommendations

The Committee are asked to nominate a new lead member for the scrutiny investigation, agree the outline work programme and methodology, and allocate other interested members of the Committee to the investigation team.

### Background Papers

None.

### Impact

Communication/Consultation	Wide consultation will be required during and following the review to involve the community and other interested parties
Community Safety	There are no specific community safety implications in the report
Equalities	There are no specific equalities implications anticipated at this time, though the review team will need to look at this as part of the investigation
Finance	None in this report, but some financial implications may arise from the review
Human Rights	There are no specific human rights implications in the report
Legal implications	There are no specific legal implications in the report
Ward-specific impacts	All
Workforce/Workplace	None in this report, though some implications may arise from the review

## Climate Change Scrutiny Review

### Review & Petitions, item 6

#### Situation

- 1 The Community & Housing Scrutiny Committee agreed a scoping report for an investigation into Climate Change at their meeting on 21 September 2005 (attached as Appendix A). Cllr Barbara Hughes had been nominated as lead member for the investigation and had worked on the scoping report with officers, however Cllr Hughes is now no longer a member of this Committee and the meeting will need to nominate a new lead to take the review forward.
- 2 In order to take the investigation forward in a reasonable timescale it will be necessary for a group of the Committee members to meet on a more frequent basis. Considering other pressures on meeting space and member/officer time it may be most practical to meet during the day or early evening if this is feasible with interested Members. A suggested meeting plan is set out in Appendix B.

#### Risk Analysis

Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigating actions
Size or scope of review increases	Medium	High	Need to agree and maintain a strict timetable and meeting plan
Difficult to impact locally	Medium	High	Review to focus on small scale actions appropriate for UDC and community to action